

Family Law Section Executive Committee. She has been the chair of the California Judges Association Court Administration Committee and vice chairperson of the Judicial Council's Presiding Judges Advisory Committee. Her many contributions to our community include service on the Board of Directors of Bet Tzedek Legal Services, Public Counsel, the Western Center on Law and Poverty, and as a trustee of the Women Lawyers Association of Los Angeles.

Judge Bobb has been the recipient of the Boalt Hall Alumni Association Distinguished Service Award in 1994 and the Judicial Excellence Award of the National Council on Alcoholism of the San Fernando Valley in 1989. The Levitt & Quinn Family Law Center Award is a very special award because it is given only to those who have dedicated themselves to alleviating social problems within the community at the city, county or state level.

It is our distinct pleasure to ask our colleagues to join with us in saluting Judge Bobb for her outstanding achievements, and to congratulate her on receiving this prestigious award.

TRIBUTE TO JEAN RUNYON

HON. ROBERT T. MATSUI

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise in tribute to Jean Runyon, the founder of Runyon Saltzman and Einhorn, Inc., one of the region's largest full-service advertising, public relations, public affairs and social marketing firms. Jean is the recipient of The Salvation Army's "Partners In Community Service" Award. As her friends and family gather to celebrate Jean's wonderful achievement, I ask all of my colleagues to join me in saluting one of Sacramento's most talented citizen leaders.

Jean first arrived in Sacramento in 1955 from her hometown of Berkeley, California where she enjoyed a hobby career as a stage actress. She devoted her time and energy to the Music Circus, planning theater parties. During her first year as the group's public relations chief, Jean helped Music Circus realize its first annual profit. As news spread of her outstanding talent for publicizing events, business owners approached her for advice on their own public relations projects.

In 1956, she founded Runyon and Associates. Focusing on a variety of advertising and public relations campaigns, Jean quickly and rightfully earned a reputation for delivering results. Within a few years, Jean became the first woman to be named "PR Man of the Year" by the Sacramento Public Relations Roundtable.

Today, Runyon Saltzman & Einhorn is one of Sacramento's top advertising agencies and is widely recognized for its creative work. In the early 90s, the agency branched into social marketing campaigns having put their efforts to work on behalf of a variety of environmental and public health issues. These campaigns communicated the importance of preserving clean air, avoiding tobacco, preventing teen pregnancy, stopping elder abuse and obtaining health insurance for children. The investment in this field paid off with proven results, as evidenced by national, regional and local industry recognition.

A number of nonprofit and community organizations have continued to recognize Jean for her tireless support and humanitarianism over the years. She has served on almost every major board in Sacramento, in addition to being the first female member of the Sutter Hospital Board of Trustees and the prestigious Downtown Rotary. Recently, she was honored with the naming of the Jean Runyon Little Theatre, celebrating that love for the performing arts, which later launched her career as a Sacramento public relations executive.

She has never forgotten the importance of donating time to her community. She has worked with dozens of charities and community nonprofit organizations, from such cultural institutions as the Crocker Art Museum, to groups like Make-A-Wish Foundation that help children, to organizations like The Salvation Army that help everyone. Jean's commitment to serving her community is truly an inspiration and example to her fellow citizens.

Mr. Speaker, as Ms. Jean Runyon's friends and family gather for the award ceremony, I am honored to pay tribute to one of Sacramento's most honorable citizens. Her successes are unparalleled, and it is a great honor for me to have the opportunity to pay tribute to her contributions. I ask all my colleagues to join with me in wishing my dear, dear friend Jean continued success in all her future endeavors.

CONGRATULATIONS TO MARY LAW ON HER RETIREMENT

HON. JOHN A. BOEHNER

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my gratitude to Mary C. Law, a great friend of mine who is retiring in a few weeks after serving my home county for two decades.

Mary began her career as Butler County Treasurer in September of 1981. Her twenty years of service to myself and my neighbors have been marked by too many accomplishments to name here. However, one of her most significant achievements while in office was to provide working mothers in her office with flex time and job-sharing to work around their children and children's schedules.

Aside from her great work as Treasurer, Mary has been an active supporter of many charitable organizations in the city of Hamilton and throughout all of Butler County. She is truly a great leader, both in office and throughout our community.

Mary always has been a great friend to me. She always has been willing to help me when I have needed it. I wish her a healthy and joyful retirement. Her services will be deeply missed, and she will be remembered as a dedicated and respected community leader.

BIPARTISAN PATIENT PROTECTION ACT

SPEECH OF

HON. JOSEPH CROWLEY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 2, 2001

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2563) to amend the Public Health Service Act, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to protect consumers in managed care plans and other health coverage:

Mr. CROWLEY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to express my sadness over the bill before us today. Let me begin by saying that I am a cosponsor—once proud—of H.R. 2563, the Ganske-Dingell-Norwood Patient's Bill of Rights. When I signed onto this bill, this was a truly Bipartisan Patient Protection Act.

But there have been some changes. And the kicker? The kicker is that I, a cosponsor of this bill, was not told what those changes were. None of us were, not until the eleventh hour. I do know that this bill has been gutted. What I know, is that there have been back room deals and secret negotiations. As a result, what was once a good bill is now one I am extremely disappointed with. The process by which new provisions have been developed has been a deceptive one. We started with a very bipartisan process to develop workable language, but unfortunately, that process was hijacked. Instead, deals were made behind closed doors. Even when improvements were suggested that would improve the language, they were ignored. This process was a disgrace to the House and the American people, who would benefit far more from a bipartisan and open process.

The Patient's Bill of Rights I put my name on, is now the Providers Bill of Rights. The patient's Bill of Rights that we had yesterday would have ensured that patients come first—not HMO profits or health plan bureaucrats. The Providers Bill of Rights we have before us today, fought for by the other party, strips these provisions and makes sure a calculator, not caring physicians and concerned families retain control over medical decisions.

Our bill allowed doctors to make the decisions about what is medically necessary and not an HMO bean counter. It gave patients access to information about all available treatments and not just the cheapest. Can someone from the other side please explain why that's so bad? Will they please come to my district and explain it to the working families in my hometown why this is not a good idea? And while you're at it, could you explain it to me too? Because I don't understand. I don't understand why requiring HMOs to provide access to emergency care or specialists, or direct access for women to an OB-GYN, or giving a patient a chance to try an innovative new treatment that could save their life—I don't understand why these are not rights that the other side of the aisle thinks all Americans in all health plans should have. I don't understand why Republicans in this House are opposed to putting health decisions back in human hands where they belong.

Perhaps the most frustrating part of this debate has been the horrible and unconscionable scare tactics. Not a day has gone by in